DECLARATION OF HEATHER L. CARR IN SUPPORT OF

Stafford Frey Cooper

PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 601 Union Street. Suite 3100 Seattle WA 98101.1374 TEL 206.623.9900 FAX 206.624.6885

SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION - 1

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

d. Exhibit 4 is excerpts from the deposition of Plaintiff Ryan Tompkins.

Exhibit 5 is excerpts from the deposition of Plaintiff Brendan Dunn. Note the e. photograph attached to Dunn's deposition as deposition Exhibit 3 is a photograph of Plaintiff Ryan Tompkins, taken by Betty Udeson for *The Seattle Times*, during his arrest by Seattle police officers at Cal Anderson Park on October 5, 2006. The photograph is contained in the "slideshow" presented with *The Seattle Times*' online article "Administration foes step out statewide", by October 2006, which published Tan Vinh, on Friday, 6, http://seattletimes.nwsource.com/html/localnews/2003291538_rally06m.html.

- f. Exhibit 6 is a photograph of Plaintiff Jacob Erwin, taken by Gilbert W. Arias for The Seattle Post Intelligencer, during Erwin's arrest by Seattle police officers at Cal Anderson Park on October 5, 2006. The photograph is contained with *The Seattle Post Intelligencer's* online article "Hundreds protest 'Bush Regime' – Daylong demonstration ends with 5 arrests", Mike Barber, Friday, October 6, 2006. which is published by on at http://seattlepi.nwsource.com/local/287766_peacemarch06.html.
 - g. Exhibit 7 is excerpts from the deposition of Officer Richard Roberson.
 - h. Exhibit 8 is excerpts from the deposition of Officer John Skommesa.
 - i. Exhibit 9 has been removed from this declaration.
 - j. Exhibit 10 is excerpts from the criminal docket for Plaintiff Jacob Erwin.
 - k. Exhibit 11 is excerpts from the criminal docket for Plaintiff Ryan Tompkins.
 - 1. Exhibit 12 is excerpts from the criminal docket for Plaintiff Brendan Dunn.
- m. Exhibit 13 is excerpts from Dunn's answers to the City of Seattle's First Set of Interrogatories and requests for Production to Plaintiff Brendan Dunn. Because Plaintiff did not include the questions with his answers, also attached are the corresponding questions. Dunn's

DECLARATION OF HEATHER L. CARR IN SUPPORT OF SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION - 2

Stafford Frey Cooper

answers are the same or substantially the same as those provided by Erwin and Tompkins. Consequently, Defendants have not submitted those as well. If the Court requires the answers from Erwin and Tompkins be submitted as well, Defendants will immediately do so upon request.

- n. Exhibit 14 is a print out of the King County Jail Booking Events for Jacob Erwin.
- o. Exhibit 15 is Seattle Police Department Policies and Procedures, Section 2.049 Evidence, Private Property Collection & Release.
- 3. When Plaintiffs filed this lawsuit, they named John Does 1-250 as defendants. Dkt. 4 (Compl.). With their initial disclosures, Defendants submitted all documents relevant to this lawsuit that were known to them at the time. Defendants also fully and timely answered all written discovery propounded by any of the three plaintiffs. Defendants made available for deposition any Seattle police officer who may have any knowledge concerning the events underlying this lawsuit, but Plaintiffs only sought to depose four. Plaintiffs have never claimed Defendants did not fully comply with discovery. See generally Dkt. The discovery deadline has now closed. Dkt. 26, 37. In fact, Defendants waited for the deadline to pass before moving for summary judgment specifically so that Plaintiffs could have all the opportunity allotted them to conduct the discovery necessary to support their claims. The deadline for joining additional parties has also closed. Dkt. 26. Nevertheless, Plaintiffs have not identified, joined, or served the 250 John Doe defendants originally listed in their Complaint. See generally Dkt.
- 4. Based upon his testimony and the lack of documentary evidence suggesting otherwise, it is apparent that prior to or during this lawsuit, Mr. Dunn did not follow the protocols published by the City of Seattle as to how to obtain the return of property that was taken into evidence. Consequently, he did not obtain his flag's return. No evidence, testimonial

STAFFORD FREY COOPER

1	or otherwise, suggests he was given the run around by the City or any of its employees. On July
2	17, 2009, the Court ordered the City to return the flag and flag pole to Mr. Dunn without
3	requiring him to follow the established protocols. Mr. Dunn's attorney claimed he was to obtain
4	the flag and flag pole on Mr. Dunn's behalf. The City timely provided it to Mr. Dunn's attorney
5	as ordered by the Court.
6 7 8	I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY UNDER THE LAWS OF WASHINGTON STATE AND THE UNITED STATES THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT. Executed this 31st day of August, 2009 at Seattle, Washington.
9	
10	<u>/s/ Heather Carr via ECF</u> Heather Carr
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

DECLARATION OF HEATHER L. CARR IN SUPPORT OF SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION - 4

STAFFORD FREY COOPER

Certificate of Service 1 2 I certify that on the date noted below I electronically filed this document entitled DECLARATION OF HEATHER L. CARR IN SUPP. OF SUMM. J. MOT. with the Clerk of 3 the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following persons: 4 5 Lawrence A. Hildes. lhildes@earthlink.net Law Offices of Lawrence A. Hildes 6 PO Box 5405 Bellingham, WA 98227 7 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Dunn, Erwin and Tompkins 8 9 and I certify that I have caused to be served in the manner noted below a copy of the above-listed document to the following non CM/ECF participants: 10 N/A 11 DATED this 31st day of August, 2009, at Seattle, Washington. 12 13 /s/ Heather L. Carr via ECF 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

DECLARATION OF HEATHER L. CARR IN SUPPORT OF SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION - 5

PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
601 Union Street, Suite 3100

STAFFORD FREY COOPER

C08-0978 JLR 3019-029774 425173

Seattle WA 98101.1374
TEL 206.623.9900 FAX 206.624.6885